UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,490	12/10/2004	Iwao Yamazaki	04173.0461-00000	5583
22852 7590 01/22/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			ROGERS, KRISTIN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3736		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	THS	01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/517,490	YAMAZAKI ET AI	L.			
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kristin D. Rogers	3736	,			
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communica ply	tion appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL of time may be available under the provisions of 3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ply within the set or extended period for reply will, pecived by the Office later than three months after ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUTER 1.136(a). In no event, however, me ation. To period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· ·			
Status							
	ponsive to communication(s) filed of						
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
CIOS	ed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposition o	f Claims						
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
	Of the above claim(s) $\underline{4}$ is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
• :	m(s) is/are allowed.						
•	m(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
•	m(s) is/are objected to.	n and/or alaction requirement	•				
o) L Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement	•				
Application P	apers						
9) ☐ The	specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)☐ The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	lacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by	-					
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) <u></u> Ackr a) <u></u> Al	nowledgment is made of a claim for l b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.	Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	•				
2.	• • • • •						
3.	Copies of the certified copies of t		een received in this Nationa	l Stage			
+ 0 4	application from the International	•	met received				
* See t	he attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892)		riew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Information	Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date		r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application :				

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 3736

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cha (5720296) in view of Masahiko (Japanese Publication No. 11-113870). Cha shows a method and apparatus for analyzing body composition based on bioimpedance.
- 7. In regard to claim 1, Cha shows display equipment for displaying the characteristics of a body including the quantities of the bone, the water and/or the muscle of the body, the display comprising: a plurality of electrodes (E1-E8) in contact to the surface of the body; means for measuring the impedance (11) of the body by feeding a measuring current through said plurality of the electrodes into the body; means for putting the personal information (15, 18) such as male or female, age, height, and body weight to be measured into the display equipment; means for calculating each approximate value of water weight of the body (total body water TBW) on the basis of the impedance as measured and said personal information (microprocessor 14 and 10); means for judging the somatotypes of the body which are classified on the basis of the correlations between the approximate value as calculated and the body weight; and a display (16, 17) for indicating the somatotype as judged by said judging means (column 5, lines 8-15). Cha lacks disclosure of a belt including a plurality of electrodes for measuring impedance. Masahiko teaches a method and apparatus for measuring the

Art Unit: 3736

impedance of the body comprising a belt (112) in which a plurality of measurement electrodes are housed (101a-101h) for determining the body fat composition of the user. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Cha with a display means comprising a belt having a plurality of electrodes as taught by Masahiko since such modification would provide a sensing means to measure impedance of the body in addition to determining the fitness and body composition of the user.

- 8. In regard to claim 2, Cha shows he display equipment for displaying the characteristics as set forth in claim 1, wherein said personal information include at least male or female and the age, and the combination thereof (column 3, lines 42-43).
- 9. In regard to claim 3, Cha shows the display equipment for displaying the characteristics as set forth in claim 1 or 2, wherein said display equipment includes a memory (microprocessor 14) of recording the bone weight, the water weight and/or the muscular weight of the body, which have been calculated on the basis of the impedance as measured, and said personal information, and further, a display of indicating sequentially the data as calculated.
- 10. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cha and Masahiko as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Browner. Cha and Masahiko show a device for measuring body impedance and determining body type of a user including a plurality of electrodes (E1-E8). Cha and Masahiko lack teaching applying a pulsed current through the electrodes for treatment of the body. Browner teaches an electrical body treating device comprising an electrode belt including current stimulating electrodes 11 and 12 for delivering a therapeutic electrical currents to the

Art Unit: 3736

body tissue to tone the muscles of the body. The electrode belt may be placed on various muscle groups including stomach, thighs, and back. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Cha in view of Masahiko with electrodes that delivered a pulsed current as taught by Browner since such modification would provide treatment and toning to the muscle groups.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristin D. Rogers whose telephone number is 571.272.7293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am - 4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571.272.4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.